




# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/671,313  | 09/24/2003  | Stephen J. Miller    | T-6060              | 8025             |
| 34014   | 7590        | 09/22/2004           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| CHEVRON TEXACO CORPORATION<br>P.O. BOX 6006<br>SAN RAMON, CA 94583-0806 |             |                      | SAMPLE, DAVID R     |                  |
|   |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|   |             | 1755                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                 |   |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)  |
|                              | 10/671,313      | MILLER ET AL.  |
|                              | Examiner        | Art Unit  |
|                              | David Sample    | 1755  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 10-17 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040719.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102/103(a)*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Miller (US Patent No. 5,558,851).

Miller et al. discloses a method of making a molecular sieve in which a reaction mixture is formed containing an active source of silicon oxide and an organic templating agent. See col. 4, lines 35-53. The reaction mixture can be formed into a shape, such as by spray drying, and the shapes are crystallized under conditions sufficient to form a molecular sieve. See col. 6, lines 64.

It is noted that the reference discloses forming a “paste” whereas the present claims require that a slurry be formed. See col. 5, lines 1-5. However, it appears that no difference exists between a “paste” as disclosed by the reference, and the recitation of a “slurry” in claim 1. Further in this regard, the specification lists amounts of water that are the same as the amounts of

the water disclosed by the reference. Compare page 8, line 13 of the specification with col. 7, line 41 of Miller.

The recitations of instant claim 2 can be found in the reference at col. 8, lines 6-7.

The recitations of instant claims 3-5 can be found in the reference at, e.g., col. 6, lines 32-55.

The recitations of instant claim 6 can be found in the reference at col. 8, lines 52-64.

The recitations of instant claims 7 and 8 can be found in the reference at col. 9, lines 7-10.

The recitations of instant claim 9 can be found in the reference at col. 9, line 16.

Spray drying is listed among four different forming processes. The list of forming processes is sufficiently small that this disclosure is deemed to anticipate the recitation of spray drying.

However, in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected any of the forming process disclosed by Miller, including spray drying because Miller discloses spray drying as a possible forming process.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 10-17 are allowed. The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a method of making a molecular sieve including the steps of spray drying an aqueous slurry including an organic template, adding further organic template to the spray dried particles and crystallizing the spray dried particles.


***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (571)272-1376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (572)272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



David Sample  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1755